considered the submissions of the parties and the arguments of counsel, the Motion is **DENIED**.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff was ordered to serve the Rule 26(a)(2) reports of her experts on the Defendants no later than May 19, 2008. [Doc. No. 65.] The reports were timely served and the depositions of plaintiff's experts were taken in July. Defendants' renewed request to exclude the reports and testimony of these experts does not demonstrate prejudice resulting from the delayed reports that would justify striking the reports, so the motion is denied.

Further, in light of the Order Granting Summary Judgment for Defendant Marcisz, issued on September 26, 2008 [Doc.No. 83], this Court declines to issue any additional order or recommen-

dation regarding the production of the plaintiff's expert reports. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: September 26, 2008 CATHY ANN BENCIVENGO United States Magistrate Judge

06cv2282